Soft Coral (Sinularia sp.) Extracts with Antibacterial Activity
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ABSTRACT

There is a growing need for new antibacterial agents, in particular because many antibiotics are becoming ineffective due to the emergence of resistant bacterial strains. Soft corals of the Genus Sinularia, Family Alcyoniidae, have potential as a source of terpenoid and steroid compounds with antibacterial activity. These corals may vary in external morphology (shape, colour, size). The aim of this research was to identify extracted fractions with high antibacterial activity. Sinularia sp. specimens were extracted, fractionated based on solvent polarity, and tested for antibacterial bioactivity against pathogenic bacteria (Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus). Antibacterial activity of the three fractions varied in strength. The dichloromethane fraction showed strong antibacterial activity, inhibiting S. aureus and E. coli growth at a concentration of 1 mg ml⁻¹, while the ethyl acetate and ethanol fractions were effective at 10 mg ml⁻¹ and 100 mg ml⁻¹, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Physiologically marine organisms have the potential to develop unique metabolites, to survive in extreme marine environments, including secondary metabolites with beneficial chemical and biological properties which are not produced by terrestrial organisms (Blunt et al., 2009). Soft corals can contain a variety of biologically active secondary metabolites (e.g. sesquiterpenes, diterpenes and steroids), with anti-inflammatory (Cheng et al., 2010), antimitotic (Tanod et al., 2015), cytotoxic (Zhao et al., 2013), antiviral (Wang et al., 2013) and antibacterial (Ishii et al., 2009; Bishara et al., 2007) properties.

The oceans of eastern Indonesia contain a high biodiversity of marine organisms (Tapilatu, 2015). Thus, these oceans could be central to the discovery of new medicinal compounds from marine organisms (Leal et al., 2012). Exploratory research for bioactive compounds has been carried out on sponges and algae from Indonesian oceans (Sukoso et al., 2012; Aoki et al., 2004 and Hassan et al., 2004). However, there has been a lack of research on bioactive compounds from soft corals, in particular with respect to antibacterial potency.

Soft coral is a term commonly used for the members of the Alcyoniidae Family. With their varying shapes, colours, sizes and types, these soft corals are often the dominant coral reef organisms in the western Indo-Pacific oceans (Putra et al., 2016). It has been suggested that the soft coral genus Sinularia could be a source of terpenoids and steroid derivative compounds (Lu et al., 2008). Some recent research (2012-2016) showed that Sinularia sp. could have antibacterial activity potential (Putra et al., 2016; Afifi, Abdel-Nabi, & El-Shaikh, 2016; Rajaram et al., 2014; Rozirwan et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2012).

An antibacterial compound is a substance that can inhibit growth or kill bacteria (Gan, Udin, & Vincent, 1980). The World Health Organization stated that the world requires active substances with broad spectrum antibacterial activity to
address the problem of increasing antibiotic resistance (Radic & Strukelj, 2012). Bacterial pathogens Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumanii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter sp. are the cause of infectious diseases in humans, and several studies have shown that many antibacterial drugs are (now) ineffective against this group of bacteria (Rice, 2008).

Wright & Sutherland (2007) suggest that the need for new compounds with antibacterial activity will continue to rise because some existing antibiotics are no longer effective against infections that threaten human life. Therefore, the search for new antibacterial compounds should continue. The purpose of this study was to obtain compounds (extracted and fractionated) from soft corals (Sinularia sp.) and test them for antibacterial bioactivity against pathogenic bacteria Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Location and time

The research was conducted between May and September 2017. Extraction and assay of antibacterial activity were conducted at the Fisheries Product Technology Laboratory of Palu Fisheries and Marine Institute and Agrotechnology Laboratory of Tadulako University.

2.2 Materials and equipment

Materials used included: soft corals Sinularia sp., nutrient agar (Merck), nutrient broth (Merck), bacteriological agar (Hi-Media), distilled water, 70% alcohol, dichloromethane (Merck), ethyl acetate (Merck), ethanol (Merck), DMSO (Merck), tissue, cotton, gauze, Whatman filter paper, aluminium foil, plastic wrap, plastic samples, chloramphenicol and ampicillin (generic), bacterial isolates Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus (from the Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Brawijaya University, Malang). The equipment used, included: laminar air flow cupboard, autoclave, analytical scales, Petri dishes, test tubes, Erlenmeyer glasses, incubator, oven, glass jars, ose needles, tweezers, Bunsen burner, masks, gloves, micro pipettes, droppers, rotary vacuum evaporator, McFarland Standard (Hi-Media), and well mould.

2.3 Soft coral sampling and extraction

Soft coral Sinularia sp. samples were obtained from a stock in the Fisheries Technology Production Laboratory of the Palu Fisheries and Marine College, which was collected by hand using SCUBA and preserved through freezing. The samples were collected at 3-5 m depth, off the coast of Kabonga Besar, Donggala District, Palu Bay at coordinates 43.31 LS and 119.46 BT in December 2016. Identification of soft corals by Masteria Yunovilsa Putra, PhD. (defrosted) soft coral samples were cut into cubes (approximately 1 cm³), inserted into glass jars (approximately 500 g/jar), then macerated with 1: 2 w/v dichloromethane (DCM) for 48 hours, and then filtered. The maceration and filtration process was repeated three times. The filtrate obtained was evaporated using an organic solvent. The crude extract was weighed. The remaining soft coral debris was further macerated in stages, firstly with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and then with ethanol (EtOH). A flowchart of the extraction process can be seen in Figure 1.

2.4 Antibacterial activity assay

The agar diffusion antibacterial activity assay technique was used. This method uses two layers of agar: a base layer and a seeding layer. The base layer is made by dissolving 2 g nutrients and 2 g bacteriological agar in 100 ml distilled water; the mixture is heated until homogenized and sterilized in an autoclave for 15 minutes at 121 °C; ± 15 ml are then poured into a sterile Petri dish and left to solidify. The seeding layer is made from 1.4 g nutrient agar dissolved in 100 ml distilled water, heated while stirring until homogenized; the mixture is poured into test tubes (9 ml each), and sterilized in an autoclave at a temperature of 121 °C for 15 minutes. Test bacteria (1 ml, density 10⁶ CFU/ml) were added to each tube. The contents were compared to standard McFarland solution (Hi-Media) No. 4 (Density 1.2 x 10⁵ CFU/ml), then vortexed, poured over the base layer, and the well mould inserted. The media was left until solid, before adding 50 µl drops of extract to each test well, with a concentration of 1000, 100, 10 or 1 mg ml⁻¹. The test extract was compared with positive controls (ampicillin and chloramphenicol) with concentrations of 1, 0.1 and 0.01 mg ml⁻¹ (Rina, 2011). The inoculated media was incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours, after
which the clear zone formed around each well was observed and measured. Antibacterial activity was evaluated using the categories in Latifah (2008), based on clear zone diameter: a diameter of 20 mm or more means antibacterial activity is very strong, 10-20 mm is considered strong, 5-10 mm is medium and 5 mm or less is weak.

2.5 Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

MIC is the minimum concentration of extract that can inhibit the growth of test bacteria while MBC is the minimum concentration of extract that can kill bacteria. Determination of MIC and MBC values followed the methods of Bloomfield, (1991), graphing the linear regression of ln Mo = ln Mt on the X axis against $Z^2$ (quadratic value of resistor zone diameter) on the Y axis. The Mt is the X axis intercept of the linear regression line. The MIC = 0.25 Mt and the MBC = 4 × MIC.

2.6 Zoochemical assay

Each soft coral extract fraction was subjected to an initial zoochemical screening assay to determine the presence of secondary metabolites (alkaloids, flavonoids, steroids, triterpenoids, saponins and polyphenols) using conventional standard protocols as described by Harborne, (1998).

---

**Figure 1. Soft Coral *Sinularia* sp. Compound Extraction and Fractionation Flowchart**
3. Results and Discussion

Based on the form of monomorphic colony, soft corals used in this research were identified as *Sinularia* sp. as shown in Figure 2 below. The results on the zoochemical assays used to determine the bioactive components contained in the three soft coral *Sinularia* sp. extract fractions used in this research can be seen in Table 1 and Figure 3. Based on this identification, soft coral *Sinularia* sp. extracts contained compounds belonging to the saponins, steroids, alkaloids and polyphenols. Saponin is characterized by a bitter taste and is toxic to some fish and amphibians, and have pharmaceutical applications (Rachmaniar, 1995). The usefulness of saponins for soft corals include roles in deterring predatory attacks, as a weapon in competing for space, and in assisting the process of reproduction (L. F. Liang & Guo, 2013).

![Figure 2. Soft Coral Sinularia sp](image)

**Table 1. Soft Coral *Sinularia* sp. Extract Zoochemical Test results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoochemical</th>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>EtOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triterpenoid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Soft corals *Sinularia* sp. contain diterpene and steroid compounds that have showed anticancer, anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic and antibacterial activity (Jia et al., 2005). Handayani et al. (1997) suggests the steroid compounds in the soft coral body play a role in protection against predators that threaten their survival. Putra et al., (2016) also reported the presence of steroid and saponin compounds in soft corals of *Sinularia* sp., while Rajaram et al., (2014) isolated two steroid compounds from soft corals *Sinularia kavarattensis*, and Liang et al., (2013) isolated steroid compounds from *Sinularia depressa* Tixier-Durivault, and new steroid compounds have been isolated from *Sinularia polydactyla* (Shaaban, Shaaban, & Ghani, 2013).
The three fractions of the *Sinularia* sp. soft coral were assayed for potential antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* (gram positive) and *Escherichia coli* (gram negative) bacteria at concentrations of 1,000, 100, 10 and 1 mg ml$^{-1}$. The results of the antibacterial activity assay are presented in Table 2, and show activity in the Strong, Medium and Weak categories (Latifah, 2008). The dichloromethane fraction showed strong antibacterial activity, still inhibiting the growth of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* bacteria at the 1 mg ml$^{-1}$ concentration. The ethyl acetate fraction inhibited bacterial growth down to 10 mg ml$^{-1}$, while the ethanol fraction was only effective down to 100 mg ml$^{-1}$.

### Table 2. Sinularia sp. Extract Antibacterial Assay Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Concentration (mg ml$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Clear Zone Diameter (mm)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dichloromethane (DCM)</strong></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>13,10±1,45</td>
<td>14,73±0,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8,43±1,15</td>
<td>9,43±0,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5,67±0,65</td>
<td>5,20±1,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,53±0,12</td>
<td>0,53±0,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethyl Acetate (EtOAc)</strong></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>15,20±1,01</td>
<td>14,40±0,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7,40±1,01</td>
<td>7,00±0,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3,97±0,35</td>
<td>3,73±0,67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethanol (EtOH)</strong></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>17,63±1,74</td>
<td>17,43±2,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5,47±0,23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ampicillin</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14,74±1,20</td>
<td>7,63±1,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>7,6±2,83</td>
<td>3,30±1,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>chloramphenicol</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19,30±5,37</td>
<td>15,30±0,92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>15,43±4,03</td>
<td>6,40±2,55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rozirwan et al., (2014) reported antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* from the semi-polar (EtOAc) and polar (MeOH) fractions on soft corals *Sinularia* sp., *Sinularia polydactyla* and *Sinularia flexibilis*. Rozirwan et al., (2014) also suggests that *Sinularia* has a strong inhibitory effect on pathogenic bacterial growth. Soft coral *Sinularia* sp. also showed moderate to strong antibacterial potential against gram-positive bacteria (*B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*) and gram-negative bacteria (*E. coli* and *V. eltor*) (Putra et al., 2016).

The data in Table 2 show that soft coral extracts from the DCM fraction inhibited the growth of *S. aureus* more effectively than *E. coli*. For the EtOAc Fraction, the clear zone formed was greater for *E. coli* than *S. aureus*, while the EtOH fraction can inhibit *E. coli* more strongly than *S. aureus*, because at 100 mg ml\(^{-1}\) concentration there was still an inhibition zone for *E. coli*.

The MIC analysis results showed that the minimum inhibitory concentrations of DCM, EtOAc and EtOH fractions against *E. coli* bacteria were 6.63; 3.38; 60.2 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively; against *S. aureus* bacteria, the minimum inhibitory concentrations were 7.21; 2.91; 127.97 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively. The MBC analysis results for DCM, EtOAc and EtOH fractions of soft coral *Sinularia* sp. against *E. coli* bacteria were 26.53; 13.51; 240.73 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively; against *S. aureus* bacteria, they were 28.84; 11.65; 511.87 mg ml\(^{-1}\), respectively. The results of assays against *E. coli* bacteria can be seen in Figure 4.

**Figure 4. Results of Soft Coral *Sinularia* sp. Extract Assays Against *E. coli***

Gram negative bacteria such as *E. coli* have an additional layer in the cell wall structure, known as the outer membrane. This membrane is composed of lipopolysaccharides (LPS), a porin matrix, and lipoproteins. The presence of a special membrane of protein molecules (porin) in gram-negative bacteria can facilitate the passive diffusion of low molecular weight hydrophilic compounds. Molecules that are hydrophilic find it easier to pass through LPS than those which are hydrophobic (Jawetz, Melnick, & Adelberg, 2008). Gram-negative bacteria have a hydrophilic end, i.e. carboxyl, amino acids, and hydroxyl so that gram-negative bacteria are sensitive to polar antibacterial compounds (Madigan, Martinko, & Parker, 2000). Therefore, the ethanol fraction still showed a noticeable inhibition zone against *E. coli* at concentrations of 100 mg ml\(^{-1}\) but not against *S. aureus*.

The resistance of gram negative and gram positive bacteria to antibacterial
compounds is different. Gram-negative bacteria are generally sensitive to polar antimicrobial compounds, whereas gram-positive grains are more sensitive to non-polar antibacterial compounds (Brannen & Davidson, 1993). The differences in sensitivity of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria are related to the structure within the cell wall, such as the number of peptidoglycans (the presence of receptors, pores, and lipids), the nature of the cross-linking, and the activity of the autolitic enzymes. These components are factors that determine the penetration, binding, and activity of antimicrobial compounds (Jawetz et al., 2008). Assay results of S. aureus bacteria can be seen in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Results of Soft Coral Sinularia sp. Extract Assays Against S. aureus

The soft coral of the genus Sinularia are a rich source of polyhydroxygenated steroids (Lakshmi & Kumar, 2009 and Sarma et al., 2009). The steroid derivatives (3β,5α,6β)-ergost-24(28)-en-3,5,6,19 tetro 19-monoacetate isolated from the soft coral Sinularia depressa Tixier-Durivault also showed considerable antibacterial activity against S. aureus (Liang et al., 2013). Rajaram et al., (2014) also reported three compounds, 10α-methoxy-4β-hydroxy guaine-6-ene; 1S*, 4S*, 5S*, 10R*-- 4,10-guaianediol and one new sesquiterpene compound exhibiting moderate to strong antibacterial activity against B. subtilis, S. aureus, S. epidermidis, E. coli, P. aeruginosa and K. pneumoniae. However, the results of Shaaban et al., (2013), evaluating the antibacterial activity of 5 terpenes and steroids from Sinularia polydactyla against B. subtilis, S. aureus, Streptomyces viridochromogenes (Tü 57) and E. coli did not show antibacterial activity potential. On the other hand, Affif et al., (2016) showed that soft corals Sinularia polydactyla have good antibacterial activity against positive gram bacterium Bacillus spp. and S. aureus, and no inhibitory activity in negative gram bacterium E. coli .Sun et al., (2012) showed that two compounds, sinularosides A and B from Sinularia humilis Ofwegen had antibacterial potential against gram-positive Bacillus megaterium while there was no inhibition of E. coli. The differences in observed antibacterial activity even within the same soft coral species indicate that environmental factors might affect the bioactive compounds present in an organism (Harborne, 1998).

As an antibacterial control, the chloramphenicol inhibition zone diameters for the test bacteria were greater than those around the soft coral extracts. This is likely due to the characteristics of chloramphenicol which is a highly stable antibacterial compound and diffuses well in seedling agar (Rahal & Simberkoff, 1979). Ampicillin is a semi-synthetic drug belonging to the Penicillin class of antibiotics, and has been shown to be effective against a wide range of infections caused by
Gram-positive bacteria and some Gram-negative. Ampicillin acts by inhibiting the synthesis of bacterial cell walls, eventually resulting in cell lysis (Ashnagar & Naseri, 2007). The result of positive control antibacterial tests can be seen in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Positive Control Antibacterial Assays; Ampicillin (left) and chloramphenicol (right) against E. coli (above) and S. aureus (below)

4. Conclusion
A series of antibacterial assays showed that the soft corals of the Genus Sinularia tested contained compounds which exhibit antibacterial activity, some of which may have potential as new or alternative antibacterial agents. The dichloromethane fraction showed the strongest antibacterial activity. Further research is recommended, in particular purification and characterization of the dichloromethane fraction, to identify the compounds responsible for this antibacterial activity.

Acknowledgments
The Authors wish to thank the Directorate General for Research and Development, Ministry of Technology, Research, and Higher Education for support under the 2017 junior lecturer research grant scheme. Thanks also go to the Director of the Palu Fisheries and Marine Institute who provided facilities and support to enable the completion of this research.

References


Sarma, N. S., Krishna, M. S., Pasha, S. G., Rao,


Zhao, M., Yin, J., Jiang, W., Ma, M., Lei, X., Xiang, Z., Yan, P. 2013. Cytotoxic and antibacterial cembranoids from a South China Sea soft coral, Lobophytum sp. Marine Drugs, 11(4), 1162–1172.